ETEC 562 Course/Project Grading Rubrics

Below are screen captures directly from the instructor's gradebook spreadsheet showing exactly how grades will be determined.

The shaded line in each section is the "test student" showing maximum scoring achievement and resulting grade calculations.

Course Grade calculation rubric…

COURSE GRADE	Check-ins 10% & Othe											Threaded Discussion:	Week 1 Ch 01	Week 2 Ch 02	Ch 3/5	Week 3 Ch 04	Ch 05	Week 4 Ch 06	Ch 07	Week 7 Ch 08	Ch 10	Ch 11	Article Review:	Reviews	Responses	Wk 2 Art. 1	Resp. 1	Wk 5 Art. 2	Resp. 2	Wk 6 Art. 3	Resp. 3	Information: Research	Emerging Tec Hard/Software	Emerging Tec Web 2.0	EdTech Empl Opportunities	Technology Presentations	Presentatio	Peer Evals
	10%	Wk. 1 Intro	Wk. 1 Photo	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	overall	20%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	20%	15%	5%	5%	1.67%	5%	1.67%	5%	1.67%	20%	6.67%	6.67%	6.67%	30%	20%	10%
100	33	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	7 (100)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100	15	6	5	2	5	2	5	2	100	6	6	6	100	100	17

Technology Presentation Video Project grading rubric…

Total	Instructor Eval												Peer Eval
	SubTotal	Appropriate Technology	Appropriate use of Jing	Clear Description	Description of Requirements	Instructional Applications	Effective "How-to"	Technology Availablility	Effective use of time	Positive "tone"	Grad level effort	Days post-deadline	Average
0-100	0-50	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-50
100.0	50	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	50.0

Total score on the Project grading rubric is linked to the Course Grade calculation rubric at the top.

Rubrics are subject to revision. Students will be notified of any changes in assignments.

Evaluation of criteria for points is generally adjusted (expanded or contracted, depending on number of available points) to follow the performance measure scale below:

0	No attempt at addressing the criteria is evident.
1	An attempt at addressing the criteria is evident; however, the implementation is unsuccessfully or incorrectly executed.
2	Criteria is implemented with minimal success and/or execution is well below a reasonable standard of expectation.
3	Criteria is marginally executed or implemented but with apparent room for improvement.
4	Criteria is successfully executed or implemented to an acceptable standard of expectation. Typical "good" score.
5	Criteria is fully and successfully executed or implemented to or beyond the highest standard of expectation. Has "wow factor."