ETEC 562 Course/Project Grading Rubrics

Below are screen captures directly from the instructor's gradebook spreadsheet showing exactly how grades will be determined. The shaded line in each section is the "test student" showing maximum scoring achievement and resulting grade calculations.

Course Grade calculation rubric...

| OURSE | Check-ins | s 10% | | | | | | | | Threaded | Week | | Week 2 | | Veek 3 | Wee | | Week | | Article | | | | Vk 2 | | Wk 3 | | Wk 4 | | | Emerging Ter | c Emerging Te | EdTech Empl | Technol | ogy Present | ations |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|--------|----------|---------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|----------------|---------|--------|------------|----------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|--------|-------|---------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|------------|
| GRADE | & Other \ | //k. 1 | //k. 1 | Wk. 1 | 2 | 3 | | 1 | 5 Particip | Discussio | is Ch 01 | Ch 02 | Ch 03 C | h 04 (| Ch 05 Ch (| 06 Ch (| 07 Ch 08 | 3 Ch 10 | Ch 11 | Reviews | Review | /s Resp | onses/ | vrt. 1 | Resp. 1 | Art. 2 | Resp. | 1Art. 3 | Resp. | Research | Hard/Software | eWeb 2.0 | Opportunities | | Presentatio | Peer Evals |
| | 10% li | ntro F | Photo | 9-Jul | 16-Jul | 23-Jul | 30-Ju | I 6-Au | g overall | 20 | 0% 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% | 2% 2 | % 2 | % 2% | 2% | 2% | 20% | 15 | % | 5% | 5% | 1.67% | 5% | 1.679 | 5% | 1.67% | 20% | 6.67% | 6.67% | 6.67% | 30% | 20% | 10 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 25 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | 3 | 36 | 1 | 00 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 2 | 2 | 2 | 100 | | 15 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | | 2 5 | 2 | 100 | 6 | 6 6 | 6 | 100 | 100 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 25 2 | | 2pts 3p | | | 3pts | 3pts | 3pts | 6pts | | 2pts e | ach po | st | | | | | | | | 15pts | 6pts | 5 | opts | 2pts | 5pts | 2pts | 5pts | 2pts | | 6pts | 6pts | 6pts | | 100pts | 14 evals |
| | i | ntro p | photo 3 | logins 3 | logins | 3 login: | 3 login | s 3 logi | 15 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 @ 2pts eac | r 3 @ 2pts eac | r 3 @ 2pts each | 1 | | 1pt each e |
| | | | | | | | | | profess | ional | Week | 1 | Week 2 | 1 | Veek 3 | Wee | ek 4 | Week | 5 | | | | N 1 | Veek 2 | | Week | 3 | Week 4 | 4 | | Week 3 | Week 4 | Week 5 | | Week 4 | Week 5 |
| | | | | | | | | | courteo | us | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | due Thursd | lay |
| | | | | | | | | | helpful | Topics: | Theor | etical F | oundatio | ons | Aca | demic | Software | 9 | | | | | 1 | 1.0 - AP | A Citati | on | | | | | name | name | title | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | patient | | | Desigr | ning & P | lannin | g Instructi | ior Inter | Internet & WWW | | | | | | 1.5 - re | | eview | | | | | description | link | education | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | respect | ful | | | Network | ing | | | Using | the W | eb | | | | | l.5 - rea | | | | | | | example | description | experience | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | model | | | | D | | Technolog | | | Distar | nce Ed | | | | 1 | l.0 - Wi | ki usag | е | | | | | useful details | | responsibilitie | S | | |
| | | | | | | | | | respons | sible | | | | F | \dministra | ative So | ftware | | Tech I | ntegratior | n Issues | | | | | | | | | | | useful details | job setting | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | location | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | #### | ŧ | *** | ### | ## | ##### | | #### | | | | | | | | | | | | | | salary | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | URL | | | |

Technology Presentation Video Project grading rubric...

| | Instructor I | Eval | | | | | | | | | | | Peer Eval |
|-------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | | Appropriate | Appropriate | Clear | Description of | Instructional | Effective | Technology | Effective | Positive | Grad level | Days post- | |
| Total | SubTotal | Technology | use of Jing | Description | Requirements | Applications | "How-to" | Availablility | use of time | "tone" | effort | deadline | Average |
| 0-100 | 0-50 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-5 | 0-50 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100.0 | 50 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 50.0 |

Total score on the Project grading rubric is linked to the Course Grade calculation rubric at the top.

Rubrics are subject to revision. Students will be notified of any changes in assignments.

Evaluation of criteria for points is generally adjusted (expanded or contracted, depending on number of available points) to follow the performance measure scale below:

- 0 No attempt at addressing the criteria is evident.
- 1 An attempt at addressing the criteria is evident; however, the implementation is unsuccessfully or incorrectly executed.
- 2 Criteria is implemented with minimal success and/or execution is well below a reasonable standard of expectation.
- 3 Criteria is marginally executed or implemented but with apparent room for improvement.
- 4 Criteria is successfully executed or implemented to an acceptable standard of expectation. Typical "good" score.
- 5 Criteria is fully and successfully executed or implemented to or beyond the highest standard of expectation. Has "wow factor."