TMGT 513-162 Course/Assignment Grading Rubrics

Below are screen captures directly from the instructor's gradebook spreadsheet showing exactly how grades will be determined.

The shaded line in each section is the "test student" showing maximum scoring achievement and resulting grade calculations.

TMGT 513 - 162		COURSE	COURSE	EARNED	Discussi	ons - 120)pts = 4 @	🕑 30pts e	ach									Course A	ssignm	ents - 1	Requir	ed Ch	eck-Ins	- 30pts	
Knowledge Management in Enginee	ring & Tech Or	GRADE	SCORE	POINTS	Total	VT #1	P #1	R #1	VT #2	P #2	R #2	VT #3	P #3	R #3	VT #4	P #4	R #4	Total	Asn#1	Asn#2		Intro		Wk.	1 2
Name	CWID		%		120		20	10		20	10		20	10		20	10	150	75	75	30pts	Quiz I	ntro Pi	c 18-Ja	n 25-Jan
test		Α	101.7%	305.0	120.0	30.0	20	10	30.0	20	10	30.0	20	10	30.0	20	10	150	75.0	75.0	35	Y	2	2	1 2
					-													-							
				300pts	120 pts	30 pts	20 pts	10 pts	30 pts	20 pts	10 pts	30 pts	20 pts	10 pts	30 pts	20 pts	10 pts	150pts	75pts	75pts	30pts	Y/N ()-2 0-2	1pt	2pt
							Wk. 4	Wk. 5		Wk. 7	Wk. 8		Wk. 10	Wk. 11		Wk. 13	Wk. 14	160 points	s possib	le with e	arly sub	r Intro i	ntro pic	1 logir	2 logins
Grades submitted							14-Feb	21-Feb		6-Mar	13-Mar		3-Apr	10-Apr	r	24-Apr	1-May		80pts p	80pts p	ossible	Quiz			

Course Grade calculation rubric (including perfect attendance bonus)...

Project grading rubric(s)...

TMGT 513 - 162		Instr. Eva	. Formatti	ng										Title Pac	e					Content					Adjustme	nts		
Assignment #1 - Case Study Assessment	Total	SubTota	File named correct	Page size: / 8.5"x11	Margins all 1"	Lines Double- Spaced	Font: 12pt Times	¶indent 1/2 inch		spaces after end punct.	serial comma used	Name, CWID, Assignment #, & pg # in upper right 8pt.	Length 3-4 content pages	Title	Author Name	CWID	Assign ID	Course name & number	layout &	Question #1 Response	Question #2 Response	Readability, Spelling, & Grammar	Content formatted per guidelines & APA	Submission errors (2pt. ea.)		Similarity Deduction	Turnitin Similarity Score (content)	# Days
	0-75	0-75	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-5 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-16 pts	0-16 pts	0-8 pts	0-8 pts	-0-variable	+5	-0-variable	%	-10% pe
	A 75																				10							
test	介 75	.0 75		1	1	1 1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	5	>: ∠	2 2	2	4	2 2	2 2	16	16	6	5 1	5 (): U	U	0	
	75pts	75pts																							on or	-1 for ea %	(avaluda 0	100/ 14
		/ Spts																									(exclude 5	-10%/08
		// bonus																							before	over 15%		Due
	80pts w	// bonus																							before	over 15%		Due
		// bonus																							before	over 15%		Due
TMGT 513 - 162	80pts w		Formatting										Title Pag	je					Content						before Adjustment			Due
	80pts w		File	Page		Lines For		Text				nent #, & Length 4	1				Course	Correct				Readability,	Content formatted per	Submission	Adjustment	s	Turnttin Similarity	
Assignment #2 - Case Study	80pts w	Instr. Eval.	File named	size: N	largins: D	ouble- 12	pt ¶ind	lent align	after en	d comma	Assignm pg # in	nent #, & Length 4 upper conten	5	Author		Assign	name &	Correct layout &	Question #			Spelling, &	formatted per guidelines &	Submission errors (2pt.	Adjustment Early Sub	s	Similarity Score	#Days
	80pts w	Instr. Eval. SubTotal	File named correctly 8	size: N .5"x11"	targins: D all 1" S	ouble- 12 paced Tim	pt ¶ind es 1/2 in	lent align nch left	after en punct.	d comma used	Assignm pg # in right	hent #, & Length 4 upper conten 8pt. pages	-5 Title	Author Name	CWID	Assign ID	name & number	Correct layout & position	Question # Response	Response	Response	Spelling, & Grammar	formatted per guidelines & APA	Submission errors (2pt. ea.)	Adjustment Early Sub Bonus	Similarity Deduction	Similarity Score (content)	# Days Late
Assignment #2 - Case Study Assessment	80pts w Total 0-75	Instr. Eval. SubTotal 0-75	File named correctly 8	size: N .5"x11"	largins: D	ouble- 12	pt ¶ind ies 1/2 in	lent align nch left	after en punct.	d comma	Assignm pg # in right	hent #, & Length 4 upper conten 8pt. pages	5 Title 0-2 pts	Author Name	CWID 0-2 pts	Assign ID	name &	Correct layout &	Question #	Response	Response 0-10 pts	Spelling, & Grammar 0-8 pts	formatted per guidelines & APA 0-8 pts	Submission errors (2pt.	Adjustment Early Sub	s	Similarity Score (content)	#Days
Assignment #2 - Case Study Assessment	80pts w	Instr. Eval. SubTotal 0-75	File named correctly 8	size: N .5"x11"	targins: D all 1" S	ouble- 12 paced Tim	pt ¶ind es 1/2 in	lent align nch left	after en punct.	d comma used	Assignm pg # in right	hent #, & Length 4 upper conten 8pt. pages	-5 Title	Author Name		Assign ID	name & number	Correct layout & position	Question # Response	Response	Response 0-10 pts	Spelling, & Grammar	formatted per guidelines & APA 0-8 pts	Submission errors (2pt. ea.)	Adjustment Early Sub Bonus	Similarity Deduction	Similarity Score (content)	# Days Late
Assignment #2 - Case Study	80pts w Total 0-75 介 75.0	Instr. Eval. SubTotal 0-75	File named correctly 8	size: N .5"x11"	targins: D all 1" S	ouble- 12 paced Tim	pt ¶ind es 1/2 in	lent align nch left	after en punct.	d comma used	Assignm pg # in right	hent #, & Length 4 upper conten 8pt. pages	5 Title 0-2 pts	Author Name		Assign ID	name & number	Correct layout & position	Question # Response	Response	Response 0-10 pts	Spelling, & Grammar 0-8 pts	formatted per guidelines & APA 0-8 pts	Submission errors (2pt. ea.) -0-variable	Adjustment Early Sub Bonus	Similarity Deduction	Similarity Score (content) % 0	# Days Late -10% per

Total score on each Assignment grading rubric is linked to the Course Grade calculation rubric at the top. Rubrics are subject to revision. Students will be notified of any changes in assignments.

Course Letter Grade ranges:

Assignment Rubric Scoring ranges:

Α	89.50%	269 - 300						
			0	0	0	0	0	0
B	79.50%	239 – 268	0	0.5	1	1	1-2	1-3
~	00 500/		0.5	1	2	2-3	3-4	4-7
С	69.50%	209 – 238	0.5	1.5	3	4-5	5-6	8-11
D	59.50%	179 – 208	1	2	4	6-7	7-9	12-15
0	55.5070	175 - 200	1	2	5	8	10	16
F	0.00%	0 – 178						

No attempt at addressing the criteria is evident.

An attempt at addressing the criteria is evident; however, the implementation is unsuccessfully or incorrectly executed.

Criteria is executed, implemented, or addressed with minimal success and/or execution is well below a reasonable standard of expectation.

Criteria is marginally executed, implemented, or addressed with apparent room for improvement.

Criteria is successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to an acceptable standard of expectation. Typical "good" score.

Criteria is fully and successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to or beyond the highest standard of expectation. Has "wow factor."