

## TMGT 513-172 Course/Assignment Grading Rubrics

Below are screen captures directly from the instructor's gradebook spreadsheet showing exactly how grades will be determined. The shaded line in each section is the "test student" showing maximum scoring achievement and resulting grade calculations.

Course Grade calculation rubric (including perfect attendance bonus)...

TMGT 513 - 172		COURSE COURSE EARNED			Discussions - 120pts = 4 @ 30pts each										Course Assignments			Required Check-Ins - 30pts					
Knowledge Management in Engineering & CWID	GRADE	SCORE	POINTS	Total	VT #1	P #1	R #1	VT #2	P #2	R #2	VT #3	P #3	R #3	Total	Asn#1	Asn#2	Intro	Intro	Pic	Wk. 1	2		
Name	CWID	%		120		25	15		25	15		25	15	150	75	75	30pts	Quiz	Intro	Pic	16-Jan	23-Jan	
test		A	101.7%	305.0	↑ 120.0	40.0	25	15	40.0	25	15	40.0	25	15	↑ 150	75.0	75.0	35	Y	2	2	1	2
				300pts	120 pts	40 pts	25 pts	15 pts	40 pts	25 pts	15 pts	40 pts	25 pts	15 pts	150pts	75pts	75pts	30pts	Y/N	0-2	0-2	1pt	2pt
						Wk. 4	Wk. 5		Wk. 7	Wk. 8		Wk. 10	Wk. 11	160 points possible with early sub	Intro	intro	pic	1 login	2 logins				
						12-Feb	19-Feb		12-Mar	26-Mar		16-Apr	23-Apr	80pts possible	Quiz								

Project grading rubrics...

TMGT 513 - 172		Instr. Eval.	Formatting										Title Page					Content					Adjustments							
Assignment #1 - Case Study Assessment	Total	SubTotal	File named correctly	Page size: 8.5"x11"	Margins: all 1"	Lines Double-Spaced	Font: 12pt Times	Indent 1/2 inch	Text align left	2 spaces after end punct.	serial comma used	Name, CWID, Assignment #, & pg # in upper right 8pt.	Length 3-4 content pages	Title	Author Name	CWID	Assign ID	Course name & number	Correct layout & position	Question #1 Response	Question #2 Response	Question #3 Response	Readability, Spelling, & Grammar	Content formatted per guidelines & APA	Submission errors (2pt. ea.)	Early Sub Bonus	Similarity Deduction	Turnitin Similarity Score (content)	# Days Late	
	0-75	0-75	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-5 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-16 pts	0-16 pts	0-16 pts	0-8 pts	0-8 pts	-0-variable	+5	-0-variable	%	-10% per	
test	↑ 75.0	75	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	16	16	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	75pts	75pts																								on or before	-1 for ea % over 15%	(exclude % -10% / day	Due	
	80pts w/ bonus																													

TMGT 513 - 172		Instr. Eval.	Formatting										Title Page					Content					Adjustments							
Assignment #2 - Case Study Assessment	Total	SubTotal	File named correctly	Page size: 8.5"x11"	Margins: all 1"	Lines Double-Spaced	Font: 12pt Times	Indent 1/2 inch	Text align left	2 spaces after end punct.	serial comma used	Name, CWID, Assignment #, & pg # in upper right 8pt.	Length 4-5 content pages	Title	Author Name	CWID	Assign ID	Course name & number	Correct layout & position	Question #1 Response	Question #2 Response	Question #3 Response	Readability, Spelling, & Grammar	Content formatted per guidelines & APA	Submission errors (2pt. ea.)	Early Sub Bonus	Similarity Deduction	Turnitin Similarity Score (content)	# Days Late	
	0-75	0-75	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-1 pt	0-5 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-2 pts	0-10 pts	0-10 pts	0-10 pts	0-10 pts	0-8 pts	-0-variable	+5	-0-variable	%	-10% per	
test	↑ 75.0	75	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	10	10	10	10	8	0	0	0	0	0	
	75pts	75pts																								on or before	-1 for ea % over 15%	(exclude % -10% / day	Due	
	80pts w/ bonus																													

Total score on each Assignment grading rubric is linked to the Course Grade calculation rubric at the top. Rubrics are subject to revision. Students will be notified of any changes in assignments.

Course Letter Grade ranges:

Assignment Rubric Scoring ranges:

<b>A</b>	<b>89.50%</b>	<b>269 – 300</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>79.50%</b>	<b>239 – 268</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>69.50%</b>	<b>209 – 238</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>59.50%</b>	<b>179 – 208</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>0 – 178</b>

0	0	0	0	0	0	0	No attempt at addressing the criteria is evident.
0	0.5	1	1	1-2	1-3	0	An attempt at addressing the criteria is evident; however, the implementation is unsuccessfully or incorrectly executed.
0.5	1	2	2-3	3-4	4-7	0	Criteria is executed, implemented, or addressed with minimal success and/or execution is well below a reasonable standard of expectation.
0.5	1.5	3	4-5	5-6	8-11	0	Criteria is marginally executed, implemented, or addressed with apparent room for improvement.
1	2	4	6-7	7-9	12-15	0	Criteria is successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to an acceptable standard of expectation. Typical "good" score.
1	2	5	8	10	16	0	Criteria is fully and successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to or beyond the highest standard of expectation. Has "wow factor."