## TMGT 514-168 Course/Project Grading Rubrics

Below are screen captures directly from the instructor's gradebook spreadsheet showing exactly how grades will be determined.

The shaded line in each section is the "test student" showing maximum scoring achievement and resulting grade calculations.

						•			• •								-,																						
COURSE	COURSE	EARNED															Discussi										Presen	tation	Project	s - 170	pts – 2 🖲	9 85pts	each l	did-term	Final	Requi	ed Chec	k-Ins - 30	lpts
GRADE	SCORE	POINTS	Tot	Prelim.	Ch.1	Ch.2	Ch. 11	Ch.12	Ch. 13 C	'h. 14 C	Ch. 15 C	:h. 16 Ch	n.17 Ch	n. 18   Cl	h.19 (	Ch.20	Total	Sub #1	P#1	R#1	Sub #2	P#2	R#2	Sub #3	P#3	R#3	Total	PP #	1 Pres.	Evals	PP #2	Pres. B	Evals   E	Exam	Exam		Intro	Wk.	
	7.		130	1													120		25	15		25	15	1	25	15	170	8	5		85			75	75	5 30pts	Quiz Intro	Pic 31-A	ug 7-Sep
																								1															
Α	100.8%	605	會 130	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	<b>120.0</b>	40.0	25	5 1	5 40.0	25	15	5 40.0	25	5 15	5 17	0 85.0	65.0	20.0	85.0	65.0	20.0 1	75	1 75	5 35	2	2	1 2
		600pts	130pts		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10 1	10				120 pts	20-1-			20			30 pts			170pts	9E-1	_		0E-1-		7	75pts	75pts				
				10 pts	pts	pts	pts	pts	pts	pts	pts	pts p	ts 10	pts 10	) pts 🗋	10 pts		30 pts	25 pts	15 pts	30 pts	20 pts	10 pts	30 pts	20 pts	10 pts		ospe	65pts	20pts	85pts	65pts  2	20pts			30pts	Y/N 0-2	0-2 1pt	2pt
				Wk.1	Wk.2	Wk.3	Wk.4	Wk.5	Wk.6 V	√k.7 \	/k.7 \	/k.8 W	k.9   Wł	k.10   W	'k.11   \	v/k.12			Wk. 4	Wk. 5		Wk. 8	Wk. 9		Wk. 12	Wk. 13	180 poin	ts possib	ole with e	arly subr	nission bo	onuses					Intro intro	pic 1logir	n 2 logins

Course Grade calculation rubric (including perfect attendance bonus)...

## Project grading rubric(s)...

TMGT 514 - 168			Instructor E	valuation											Peer Evaluati	Credit for Ev	aluations	5	
		Eval		Presentation	Project	Work Breakdown	Network	Coherent	Clear/Concise	Appropriate use	Effective use of	Submission	Early Sub	Days post-			Eval	Days post-	Total Eval
Presentation Project #1	Total	SubTotal	SubTotal	Video	Brief	Structure (WBS)	Diagram(s)	Organiztion	Delivery	of Technology	time (4-5min)	errors (2pt. ea.)	Bonus	deadline	Average	Evals Perf	Credit	deadline	Credit
	0-85	0-65	0-65	0-5 (x3)	0-5 (x2)	0-5 (x2)	0-5 (x2)	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	-0-variable	5	0-10	0-65	self + 5 = 6	0-20	0-10	0-20
test	1 85	5 <b>1</b> 65		1	5 5	5	5 5	5 4	5 4	5	5	5 (	) 0	C	65	6	20	0	20
	85pts	65pts	65pts	x	3 x2	2 x	2 x2	2					on or before	-10% / day	65pts				
# eval	90pts w/	bonus											10/12/16	Due 10/19/1	Due 10/30/16		Due 10/	30/16	

TMGT 514 - 168			Instructor Eva	luation - 75	%											Peer Evalua	Credit for	Evaluat	ons	
		Eval	Instructor	Issues	Statement of	Rationale for	Clear/Concise	Coherent	Appropriate use	Effective use of	Exec. Summary	/ GANTT	Submission	Early Sub	Days post-	Peer	Evals	Eval	Days post-	Total Eval
Presentation Project #2	Total	SubTotal	Average	Identified	Recommendation	Decision	Delivery	Organiztion	of Technology	time (4-5min)	(1 page)	Chart	errors (2pt. ea.)	Bonus	deadline	Average	Perf	Credit	deadline	Credit
	0-85	0-65	0-65	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5	0-5 (x2.5)	0-5 (x3.5)	-0-variable	5	0-10	0-65	self+5=6	0-20	0-10	0-20
test	<b>1 85</b>	<b>☆ 65</b>	<b>1</b> 65	1	5 !	5	5 (	5 :	5	5	5 !	5 6	5 (	0 0	0	65	6	20	0	2
	85pts	65ntc	65pts											on or hoforo	-10% / day	65ntc				
# eval	90pts w/		oopis												due 11/30/16				Due 12/11/1	6

Total score on the Report grading rubric is linked to the Course Grade calculation rubric at the top.

Rubrics are subject to revision. Students will be notified of any changes in assignments.

Letter Grade ranges:			Assignment Rubric Scoring ranges:									
۸	89.50%	537 - 600	0	0	0	No attempt at addressing the criteria is evident.						
<u> </u>			1	1-3	1-6	An attempt at addressing the criteria is evident; however, the implementation is unsuccessfully or incorrectly executed.						
В	79.50%	477 - 536	2	4-7	7-12	Criteria is executed, implemented, or addressed with minimal success and/or execution is well below a reasonable standard of expectation.						
С	69.50%	417 - 476	3	8-11	13-18	Criteria is marginally executed, implemented, or addressed with apparent room for improvement.						
D	59.50%	357 - 416	4	12-14	19-24	Criteria is successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to an acceptable standard of expectation. Typical "good" score.						
F	0.00%	0 - 356	5	15	25	Criteria is fully and successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to or beyond the highest standard of expectation. Has "wow factor."						