

## TMGT 515-212 Course/Project Grading Rubrics

Below are screen captures directly from the instructor's gradebook spreadsheet showing exactly how grades will be determined. The shaded line in each section is the "test student" showing maximum possible scoring achievement and the resulting grade.

### Course Grade calculation rubric...

COURSE GRADE	COURSE SCORE %	EARNED POINTS	Quizzes - 90pts = 1 @ 10pts + 4 @ 20 pts										Discussions - 120pts = 3 @ 40pts each					Project Activities - 190pts					
			Tot 90	Quiz 1 Prelim	Quiz 2 Ch.14	Quiz 3 Ch.15	Quiz 4 Ch.17	Quiz 5 Ch.20	Total 120	Sub #1	Post #1 25	Resp #1 15	Sub #2	P #2 25	R #2 15	Sub #3	P #3 25	R #3 15	Total 190	Design 30	Site 30	Structures 30	Pres Vid 100
A	100.0%	400	90	10	20	20	20	20	120	40	25	15	40	25	15	40	25	15	190	30	30	30	100
		400pts	90pts	10 pts Wk.1 21-Mar	20 pts Wk.2 4-Apr	20 pts Wk.4 18-Apr	20 pts Wk.6 28-Apr	20 pts Wk.8 28-Apr	120 pts	40 pts	25 pts Wk. 2 21-Mar	15 pts Wk. 3 28-Mar	40 pts	25 pts Wk. 4 4-Apr	15 pts Wk. 5 11-Apr	40 pts	25 pts Wk. 6 18-Apr	15 pts Wk. 7 25-Apr	190pts	30pts Wk. 4 4-Apr	30pts Wk. 5 9-Apr	30pts Wk. 6 14-Apr	100pts Wk. 6 18-Apr

### Project grading rubrics...

TOTAL	Site Design Spec. Report	Site Selection Report	Structures Report	Presentation Video	Peer Evaluations - Average		Credit for Evaluations		Days post-deadline	Total Eval Credit
					Evals Rcvd	Evals Perf self + 5 = 6	Eval Credit	Adjust ment ±		
190.0	30.0	30.0	30.0	100.0	100.0	6	20	0	0	20
190 pts	30 pts 4-Apr	30 pts 9-Apr	30 pts 14-Apr	100 pts 18-Apr	100 pts due 4/23/2021	6 evals	20 pts			20 pts

Site Design Spec. Report	Overall Quality	Meets project parameters & addresses considerations	Meets formatting & length requirements	Sub. errors (2pt. ea.)	Days post-deadline	Site Selection Report	Overall Quality	Meets project parameters & addresses considerations	Meets formatting & length requirements	Sub. errors (2pt. ea.)	Days post-deadline	Structures Report	Overall Quality	Meets project parameters & addresses considerations	Meets formatting & length requirements	Sub. errors (2pt. ea.)	Days post-deadline
30.0	5	5	5	0	0	30.0	5	5	5	0	0	30.0	5	5	5	0	0
30 pts 4-Apr					4-Apr	30 pts 9-Apr					9-Apr	30 pts 14-Apr					14-Apr

Presentation Video	Instructor SubTotal	Overall Quality of Presentation	General Overview	Life Cycle Cost Analysis	Risk Identification & Mediation	Coherent Organization	Clear/Concise Delivery	Audience Appropriate	Appropriate use of Technology	Effective use of time (4-5min)	Sub. errors (2pt. ea.)	Days post-deadline	Notes	Peer Score	Eval. Activity	Adjust ment ±	Direct grade entry	Peer Evaluations - Average	Evals Rcvd
100.0	100	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	0		20.0	5.0	0		100.0	
100 pts 18-Apr		x 2 = 20	x 1.5 = 15	x 1.5 = 15	x 1.5 = 15			+ 2 = 5	+ 2 = 5	+ 2 = 5		-10% / day 18-Apr						100 pts due 4/23/2021	

Total scores on the Project grading rubrics are linked to the Project Activities section of the Course Grade calculation rubric at the top. Rubrics are subject to revision. Students will be notified of any changes in assignments.

### Assignment Rubric Scoring ranges:

0	0	0	0	0	No attempt at addressing the criteria is evident.
1	1-2	1-3	1-4	1-6	An attempt at addressing the criteria is evident; however, the implementation is unsuccessfully or incorrectly executed.
2	3-4	4-7	5-9	7-12	Criteria is executed, implemented, or addressed with minimal success and/or execution is well below a reasonable standard of expectation.
3	5-6	8-11	10-14	13-18	Criteria is marginally executed, implemented, or addressed with apparent room for improvement.
4	7-9	12-14	15-19	19-24	Criteria is successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to an acceptable standard of expectation. <b>Typical "good" score.</b>
5	10	15	20	25	Criteria is fully and successfully executed, implemented, or addressed to or beyond the highest standard of expectation. Has "wow factor."

### Letter Grade ranges:

A	89.5%	358 - 400
B	79.5%	318 - 357
C	69.5%	278 - 317
D	59.5%	238 - 277
F	0.0%	0 - 237